

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,205	11/12/2003	Hyong-uk Choi	Q78412	2939
23373 7590 9775020099 SUGHRUE MON, PLLC 2100 PENNSYL VANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAMINER	
			SAMUEL, DEWANDA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	111111111111111111111111111111111111111		2416	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/705.205 CHOLET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2416 DEWANDA SAMUEL All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) DEWANDA SAMUEL. (3) (2) Attorney Bezak. (4)\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 21 July 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 1, 7, and 11, Identification of prior art discussed: Lefkowitz ( US Patent 6.990.343), Rom ( US Patent 6.360,743) and Backes ( PG PUB 2004/0166870) . Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Attorney explained the inventive concept of the invention and specifically pointed out that the access point has the handoff functionalty instead of the morbile station. Also, claim clarity was advise, the representaive was ask to clear up, the language in the claims that is specifically deligating the access point control of the handoff techinque... (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.